

Taking a scientific approach to science and engineering education; insights from recent research

Carl Wieman
Stanford University
Department of Physics and Grad School of Education

copies of
slides to be
available

*based on the research of many people, some from my science ed research group

- I. General--How the brain learns scientific & engineering thinking.
- II. Focusing down--Applying in university classrooms & measuring results. Examples, research data, principles.
- III. Focusing tighter-- detailed findings from classroom research. What works to improve learning and why. (*things you can use in teaching.*)
Defines teaching expertise.

For teachers- what research says you should do to maximize student learning

For students- the thinking you should do to maximize your learning
"Exercising your brain better."
Good teacher helps but often is not essential.

My background in education

Students: 17 yrs of success in classes.
Come into my lab clueless about physics?



2-4 years later \Rightarrow expert physicists!


?????? ~ 35 years ago

Research on how people learn, particularly physics

- explained puzzle
 - I realized were more effective ways to teach
 - got me started doing science ed research--
experiments & data, basic principles! (~ 150 papers later)
- “Expertise” = thinking like good scientist or engineer


Major advances past 1-2 decades

⇒ New insights on how to learn & teach complex thinking (eng. & sci.)
Complementary results from three fields of research.



Rethinking how learning happens


old/current model




focus on:

- selecting best brains
- knowledge soup

new research-based view



Change neurons by intense thinking.
Improved capabilities.



fMRI-- interpreting x-ray image

Brain research– learning is enhancing relevant neuron connections—like muscle development. Intensity & type of exercise critical.

Brain only learns what it practices intently!

Researchers are continually learning more effective ways to teach– brains develop new skills.

“Perfect pitch”– long thought was some extraordinary natural talent. Now we know how to teach it to almost every child.

I. General--How the brain learns scientific & engineering thinking.

II. Focusing down--Applying in university classrooms & measuring results. Examples, research data, principles.

III. Focusing tighter– detailed findings from classroom research.
What works to improve learning and why. (*things you can use*)
Defines teaching expertise.

Learning in large intro class*

Comparing the learning in class for two ~identical sections. 270 students each. UBC 1st year university physics for engineers.



Control--standard lecture class-- highly experienced Prof with good student ratings.

Experiment-- new physics Ph. D. trained in principles & methods of research-based teaching.

They agreed on:


- Same material to cover
- Same class time (1 week)
- Same exam (jointly prepared)- start of next class

**Deslauriers, Schelew, Wieman, Sci. Mag. May 13, '11*

Experimental:

1. Short preclass reading assignment--Learn basic facts and terminology without wasting class time.

2. Class starts with question:



*When switch is closed,
bulb 2 will*

- a. stay same brightness,*
- b. get brighter*
- c. get dimmer,*
- d. go out.*

3. Individual answer with phone



*Jane Smith
chose a.*



4. Discuss with neighbors, revote. ("Peer instruction")

Instructor circulating and listening in on conversations!

What aspects of student thinking like physicist, what not?

5. Demonstrate/show result

6. Instructor follow up summary– feedback on which models & which reasoning was correct, & **which incorrect and why.**
Many student questions.


For more mathematical topics, students write out on worksheets.

Students practicing thinking like physicists--

(choosing, applying, testing conceptual models, critiquing reasoning...)

Feedback—other students, informed instructor, demo


Experiment--Identical surprise quiz given in both sections



Learning from lecture tiny.
 Clear improvement for entire student population.

Deslauriers, Schelew, Wieman, Sci. Mag. May 13, '11

Similar comparison of teaching methods. Computer science & looking at fail/drop rates over term. U. Cal. San Diego,



same 4 instructors, better methods = 1/3 fail rate

Beth Simon et al., 2012

Research-based instruction—Advanced Courses



*Design and implementation: Jones, Madison, Wieman, Transforming a fourth-year modern optics course using a deliberate practice framework, Phys Rev ST – Phys Ed Res, V. 11(2), 020108-1-16 (2015). **1 standard deviation improvement!***

[Worksheets](#)

Transformed teaching of Stanford physics majors


8 physics courses 2nd-4th year

Also works well for graduate courses

(P. Lepage Quant. Field Theo. Am J. Phys. 2021)

Structure of active learning class

Good for any subject, level, class size



Two essential features:

- students are thinking—practicing expert reasoning
- instructor more knowledgeable about that thinking—more effective teaching & feedback (*and they enjoy teaching more*)

Evidence from the University Classroom

~ 1000 research studies from undergrad science and engineering comparing traditional lecture with “active learning” (or “research-based teaching”).

- **consistently show greater learning**
- **lower failure & dropout rates**

*Meta-analysis
all sciences & eng. similar.
PNAS Freeman, et. al. 2014*


I. General--How the brain learns scientific & engineering thinking.

II. Focusing down--Applying in university classrooms & measuring results. Examples, research data, principles.

III. Focusing tighter– detailed findings from classroom research. What works to improve learning and why. (*things you can use in teaching.*) Defines teaching expertise.


III. What works and Why? Principles and Practices for teaching

Learning to think like expert; what the research says is important.



Defines teaching expertise.

Practices that research shows produce more learning.
Guidance for better evaluation of teaching.



How enter into design of practice activities (in class, then homework...)?

Wieman Group Research

How experts in science, engineering, and medicine solve authentic problems.

All involve making decisions with limited information.

Same set of 29 decisions, all science & engineering!

- Decide: what concepts/models relevant
- Decide: *What* information relevant, irrelevant, needed.
- Decide: what approximations are appropriate.
- Decide: potential solution method(s) to pursue.
-
- Decide: does solution/conclusion make sense, how to test?

but **making** the decisions requires specialized disciplinary knowledge

- Decide: what concepts/models relevant
- Decide: What information relevant, irrelevant, needed.
- Decide: what approximations are appropriate.
- " : potential solution method(s) to pursue.
-
- does solution/conclusion make sense, how to test?

How to learn expert science & eng problem solving?*

Requires practice making problem-solving decisions in authentic problem context.




Not learn in typical courses!

Nearly all these decisions removed from typical school problems!

Students learn information, not how to use!

Can do exam problems, but not solve meaningful problems!

* "Deliberate Practice", A. Ericsson research. See "Peak;..." by Ericsson for readable summary



How enter into design of practice activities (in class, then homework...)?

Brain Constraints

Memory (simplified)


1. “Short-term working memory” (buffer)

- tiny capacity (5-7 items)
- time scale of minutes
(*what can pay attention to*)

*When hear or see new items,
pushes out old. Not processed
and into LTM*

2. Long term memory

- Large capacity
- Long duration



%@NBIDIB ODI FDI B KM >OD>@!>OQIDON

Brain constraints:

1) working memory has limit 5-7 new items.


Additional items reduce processing & learning.

- Split attention (checking email, ...)—learning disaster (**worse online!**)
- Jargon, nice picture, interesting little digression or joke actually hurts learning.

2) long term memory— biggest problem is recall after learning additional stuff--interference.

Not just learn once and done. Interference suppressed by repeated interleaved recall.

*So not just cover chapter 1 and done. Reconnect during chap 3, 4...
Students can do without needing teacher.*



Implementation—

1. Design good tasks (as above) but with clear **deliverables. Things student has to complete.**

(define task & instructor use to guide feedback)

2. **Social learning** (working in groups, in class 3-4)

Talking to fellow students better than hearing expert instructor explain??

- Yes! People teaching/explaining to others triggers unique cognitive process \Rightarrow learning
- **Very useful as a teacher** to listen in on student conversations!

For students– new material. "How would I explain this to a 14-year-old sibling?"

Conclusion—

Research shows good design and implementation.

Better learning than traditional lecture teaching & why.

“But traditional lectures can’t be as bad as you claim. Look at all those university professors who were taught by traditional lectures.”

Bloodletting was the medical treatment of choice for ~ 2000 years, based on exactly the same logic.

Need proper comparison group. (science)


Conclusion--Research shows good design and implementation.
Better learning than traditional lecture & why.

Brain learns what it practices. Develops new capabilities.

Good References:

- S. Ambrose et. al. *"How Learning works"*
- D. Schwartz et. al. *"The ABCs of how we learn"*
- Ericsson & Pool, *"Peak:..."*
- Wieman, *"Improving How Universities Teach Science"*
- **cwsei.ubc.ca-- resources** (implementing best teaching methods), references, effective clicker use booklet and videos
- A Detailed Characterization of the Expert Problem-Solving Process in Science and Engineering: Guidance for Teaching and Assessment, A. Price et al, [://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.20-12-0276](https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.20-12-0276)

slides will be available



For administrators:

What universities and departments can do. Experiment on large scale change of teaching.

Changed teaching of ~250 science instructors & 200,000 credit hrs/yr UBC & U. Colorado

Important results:

1. Large scale change is possible. (Entire departments)
2. When faculty learn how to teach this way (~50 hrs) they prefer to lecturing. Costs the same.
3. Need to recognize, support, and incentivize teaching expertise.
4. Need better way to evaluate teaching-

Necessary 1st step-- better evaluation of teaching

“A better way to evaluate undergraduate science teaching”

Change Magazine, Jan-Feb. 2015, Carl Wieman

Requirements:

- 1) measures what leads to most learning
- 2) equally valid/fair for use in all courses
- 3) actionable-- how to improve, & measures when do
- 4) is practical to use routinely
student course evaluations do only #4

Better way--characterize the practices used in teaching a course, extent of use of research-based methods. 5-10 min/course

“Teaching Practices Inventory”

<http://www.cwsei.ubc.ca/resources/TeachingPracticesInventory.htm>

Conclusion:

Research has established teaching expertise at university level. Practices that are more effective.

When learned and applied:

- students learn more
- students and teaching staff prefer

Potential to dramatically improve post secondary education.

How to make it the norm at universities?

~ 20 extras below, not in talk

How it is possible to cover as much material?

(if worrying about covering material not developing students expert thinking skills, focusing on wrong thing, but...)

- transfers information gathering outside of class,
- avoids wasting time covering material that students already know

Advanced courses-- often cover more

Intro courses, can cover the same amount.

But typically cut back by ~20%, as faculty understand better what is reasonable to learn.

1. Organization of how topic is presented.

Very standard teaching approach:

Give formalism, definitions, equa's, and then move on to apply to solve problems.

What could possibly be wrong with this?

*Nothing, **if** learner has an expert brain.*


Expert organizes this knowledge as tools to use, along with criteria for when & how to use.

- Student does not have this system for organizing knowledge. Can only learn as disconnected facts, not linked to problem solving. Not recall when need.
- Much higher demands on working memory = less capacity for processing.
- Unmotivating— see no value.

Motivation-- essential
(complex- depends on background)

Enhancing motivation to learn

- a. Relevant/useful/interesting to learner
(meaningful context-- connect to what they know and value)
requires expertise in subject
- b. Sense that **can** master subject and how to master, recognize they are improving/accomplishing
- c. Sense of personal control/choice



Pre-class Reading

Purpose: Prepare students for in-class activities; move learning of less complex material out of classroom

Spend class time on more challenging material, with Prof giving guidance & feedback

Can get >80% of students to do pre-reading if:

- Online or quick in-class quizzes for marks (tangible reward)
- Must be targeted and specific: students have limited time
- DO NOT repeat material in class!

Heiner et al, Am. J. Phys. 82, 989 (2014)

3. Feedback to students

Standard feedback—“You did this problem wrong, here is correct solution.”

Why bad? Research on feedback—simple right-wrong with correct answer very limited benefit.


Learning happens when feedback:

- timely and specific on what thinking was incorrect and why
- how to improve
- learner acts on feedback.


Building good feedback into instruction among most impactful things you can do!

Final Exam Scores

nearly identical problems



Jones, Madison, Wieman, *Transforming a fourth year modern optics course using a deliberate practice framework*, *Phys Rev ST – Phys Ed Res*, V. 11(2), 020108-1-16 (2015)



9 instructors, 8 terms, 40 students/section.
 Same instructors, better methods = more learning!

Transforming teaching of Stanford physics majors

8 physics courses 2nd-4th year, seven faculty, '15-'17

- Attendance up from 50-60% to ~95% for all.
- Student anonymous evaluation overwhelmingly positive (4% negative, 90% positive): **(most VERY positive, “All physics courses should be taught this way!”)**
- All the faculty greatly preferred to lecturing.

Typical response across ~ 250 faculty at UBC & U. Col. Teaching much more rewarding.

Applications of research instructors can use immediately (*some very common but bad practices*)

1. Organization of how a topic is presented
2. Feedback to students
4. Review lectures (*why often worse than useless*)

(*see cwsei research papers & instructor guidance*)

A better way to present material—

"Here is a meaningful problem we want to solve."

*"Try to solve" (and in process notice key features of context & concepts—
basic organizational structure).*

Now that they are prepared to learn--*"Here are tools (formalism and
procedures) to help you solve."*

*More motivating, better mental organization & links, less cognitive
demand = more learning.*

*"A time for telling" Schwartz & Bransford (UW), *Cog. and Inst.* (1998),
Telling after preparation ⇒ x10 learning of telling before,
and better transfer to new problems.*

1. Designing homework & exam problems (& how to improve)

What expertise being practiced and assessed?

- Provide all information needed, and only that information, to solve the problem
- Say what to neglect
- *Possible* to solve quickly and easily by plugging into equation/procedure from that week
- Only call for use of one representation
- Not ask why answer reasonable, or justify decisions

Components of expert thinking:

- ~~• recognizing relevant & irrelevant information~~
- ~~• select and justify simplifying assumptions~~
- ~~• concepts and models + **selection criteria**~~
- ~~• moving between specialized representations
(graphs, equations, physical motions, etc.)~~
- ~~• Testing & justifying if answer/conclusion reasonable~~

How to improve? Don't do the bad stuff.

Applications of research instructors can use immediately (*some very common but bad practices*)

1. Organization of how a topic is presented
2. Design of homework and exam problems
3. Review lectures (*why often worse than useless*)

(see cwsei research papers & instructor guidance)

Most university instructors and administrators don't know about, but growing recognition of research:

- US National Acad. of Sciences (2012)
- PCAST Report to President (2012)

Calling on universities to adopt



Amer. Assoc. of Universities (60 top N. Amer. Univ.'s—Stanford, Harvard, Yale, MIT, U. Cal, ...)

Pre 2011-- *“Teaching? We do that?”*

2017 Statement by President of AAU--

“We cannot condone poor teaching of introductory STEM courses ... simply because a professor, department and/or institution fails to recognize and accept that there are, in fact, more effective ways to teach. Failing to implement evidence-based teaching practices in the classroom must be viewed as irresponsible, an abrogation of fulfilling our collective mission”

“ A time for telling” Schwartz and Bransford,
Cognition and Instruction (1998)


People learn from telling, but only if well-prepared to learn.

Activities that develop knowledge organization structure.

Students analyzed contrasting cases \Rightarrow recognize key features

Predicting results of novel experiment

<i>Condition</i>	<i>Noted in Study Work</i>	<i>Missed in Study Work</i>
Analyze + lecture	.60	.26
Analyze + analyze	.18	.15
Summarize + lecture	.23	.06



How enter into design of practice activities (in class, then homework...)?

A few final thoughts—

1. Lots of data for college level, does it apply to K-12?

There is some data and it matches.

Harder to get good data, but cognitive psych says principles are the same.

2. Isn't this just "hands-on"/experiential/inquiry learning?

No. Is practicing thinking like scientist with feedback.

Hands-on may involve those same cognitive processes, but often does not.

Reducing demands on working memory in class

- Targeted pre-class reading with short online quiz
- Eliminate non-essential jargon and information
- Explicitly connect
- Make lecture organization explicit.

clickers*--

Not automatically helpful--


give accountability, anonymity, fast response

Used/perceived as expensive attendance and testing device ⇒ little benefit, student resentment.

Used/perceived to enhance engagement, communication, and learning ⇒ transformative

- challenging questions-- concepts
- student-student discussion ("peer instruction") & responses (learning and feedback)
- follow up instructor discussion- timely specific feedback
- minimal but nonzero grade impact

*An instructor's guide to the effective use of personal response systems ("clickers") in teaching-- www.cwsei.ubc.ca



Design principles for classroom instruction

1. Move simple information transfer out of class.
Save class time for active thinking and feedback.

2. "Cognitive task analysis"-- how does expert think about problems?

3. Class time filled with problems and questions that call for explicit expert thinking, address novice difficulties, challenging but doable, and are motivating.

4. Frequent specific feedback to guide thinking.

DP